




Guide for Reviewers & Judge (TPC)

AS REVIEWERS:

Open the **main category/ conference event** by clicking on the event title.


For example: Click on the “**International Joint Conference on AI-Driven Digital Twin (AIDT)**” to access the event page.



AS REVIEWERS:

Open the **sub-category/sub-conference event** for the specific series/year

e.g. **International Joint Conference on AI-Driven Digital Twin (AIDT 2025)**



The screenshot displays the FrappeHub website interface. At the top, the FrappeHub logo is visible. Below it, a navigation bar contains links: Home, Create event (with a dropdown arrow), Room booking, Administration, Services (with a dropdown arrow), and My profile. A breadcrumb trail shows the path: FrappeHub Conference Portal » International Joint Conference on... The main heading of the page is "International Joint Conference on AI-Driven Digital Twin (AIDT)". To the right of this heading is a search bar with the placeholder text "Enter your search term" and a magnifying glass icon. Below the heading, there is a section for the AIDT logo and a descriptive paragraph about digital transformation and AI integration. Further down, the date "September 2025" is displayed. At the bottom, a calendar icon is followed by the text "Sep 09 - Sep 10" and the event title "International Joint Conference on AI-Driven Digital Twin (AIDT 2025)". A yellow hand cursor icon is pointing at the event title.

AS REVIEWERS:

You will be directed to the Conference page. For example:

International Joint Conference on AI-Driven Digital Twin (AIDT 2025)



International Joint Conference on AI-Driven Digital Twin (AIDT 2025)

Sep 9 – 10, 2025
WTC, Kuala Lumpur
Asia/Kuala_Lumpur timezone

- Overview
- Key Topics
- Paper Peer Reviewing
 - Reviewing Area
 - Judging Area
- Instruction to Authors
- Registration & Payment

Contact

✉ aidt@unimap.edu.my

International Joint Conference on AI-DRIVEN Digital Twin

Net-Zero Horizons: Transforming Smart Industries

September 9 – 10, 2025 | WTC, Kuala Lumpur

Optional hybrid participation available for international attendees

Course Serial Number: **10001567424**

Eligible for CPD claim under MBOT and BEM. Participants will receive a Certificate of Attendance for submission.

The AI & Digital Twin Conference 2025 stands as a pivotal gathering where industry professionals, academic experts and technology innovators convene physically to drive a comprehensive digital transformation across key sectors, including **Smart Cities, Logistics & Transportation, Healthcare, Smart Manufacturing, and Oil & Gas.**

In this dynamic environment, participants will have the opportunity to share their approaches and success stories, as well as gain valuable insights through real-world case studies, key challenges and engaging discussions on the latest technological advancements.


AS REVIEWERS:

* Please note that the reviewing page will only be available once you are assigned as a reviewer for the specific abstract or paper

1 FOR PAPER REVIEWING:

Reviewers can find the paper to be reviewed at


Paper Peer Reviewing
↳ **Reviewing Area**



The screenshot displays the 'Reviewing Area' of the FrappeHub Conference Portal. On the left is a sidebar menu with options: Overview, Key Topics, Paper Peer Reviewing, Reviewing Area (highlighted), Judging Area, Instruction to Authors, Registration & Payment, and Contact (with email aidt@unimap.edu.my). The main content area is titled 'Reviewing Area' and includes a sub-header 'The reviewing area shows the papers for which you are a reviewer.' Below this, there are two sections: 'Papers to review' and 'Reviewed papers'. The 'Papers to review' section shows two papers. The first paper, '#13: Lightweight Hybrid Model for Fog Severity Classification in Outdoor Scenes' by Yahya Obad, is marked as 'Submitted for reviewing' and 'Your content review is pending'. A yellow hand icon points to the title. The second paper, '#23: Pilot Study on Determining the Minimum Sampling Frequency for ECG Signal Downsampling in Synchronization with UWB Radar Signal' by Siti Mahfuzah Binti Fauzi, is also marked as 'Submitted for reviewing' and 'Your content review is pending'. Both sections have a list icon and a count (2/2 for papers to review, 0/0 for reviewed papers) and a search bar. A message at the bottom states 'You haven't reviewed any papers yet.'

AS REVIEWERS:

- 1 To review the paper. Reviewer need to navigate to **Reviewing Area**
- 2 Click on the title of the paper need to be reviewed




The screenshot displays the 'Reviewing Area' of the FrappeHub portal. On the left, a sidebar menu contains various navigation options: Overview, Call for Abstracts, L. Reviewing Area (highlighted with a yellow circle '1'), Scientific Program, Timetable, Conference Fee, Registration, Contribution List, Author List, Speaker List, Paper Peer Reviewing, Participant List, and Accommodation. The main content area is titled 'Reviewing Area' and includes a sub-header 'The reviewing area shows the papers for which you are a reviewer.' Below this, a blue box displays 'Reviewing deadlines' with the text 'Content reviewing ends on Mar 31, 2022 at 11:59 PM.' The 'Papers to review' section features a list of papers, with the first entry '#10: IoT for climate change' highlighted by a yellow circle '2' and a hand cursor icon. This entry includes the author 'participant one', the status 'Submitted for reviewing', and a note 'Your content review is pending'. An 'Abstract.pdf' link is also visible. The 'Reviewed papers' section shows '0 / 0' papers and a message: 'You haven't reviewed any papers yet.'

AS REVIEWERS:

A reviewing page will open after reviewer click on the title of the paper.

- 3 Next, reviewer need to click on the **Review** button to start reviewing the paper.



The screenshot displays the 'Paper Peer Reviewing' section of the FrappeHub Conference Portal. On the left is a vertical navigation menu with the following items: Overview, Call for Abstracts, L. Reviewing Area, Scientific Program, Timetable, Conference Fee, Registration, Contribution List, Author List, Speaker List, Paper Peer Reviewing (highlighted), L. Reviewing Area, Participant List, Accommodation, Secretariat, and Exhibitors. The main content area is titled 'Paper Peer Reviewing' and shows a paper titled 'IoT for climate change #10'. A blue 'Submitted' button is next to the text 'participant one submitted for the contribution IoT for climate change'. Below this, a document icon is followed by 'Paper.pdf' and 'Submitted on March 12, 2022 by participant one'. A comment bubble from 'N' (participant one) states 'participant one submitted paper revision #1 - March 12, 2022.' and is followed by another 'Paper.pdf' document icon. At the bottom, a comment bubble from 'R' (reviewer) contains a text input field 'Leave a comment...' and a 'Review' button. A yellow circle with the number '3' and a hand cursor icon points to the 'Review' button.


AS REVIEWERS:

- 4 Reviewers can write their review (comments & suggestions)
- 5 For rating question, reviewer can tick the suitable star according to his/her reviews.

- 6 Propose an action

- **Accept** (means no correction required)
- **Reject** (means paper is not suitable/underqualified)
- **To be corrected** (means require minor/major correction from authors)

* Please select “To be corrected” if there is minor/major correction. Otherwise, author cannot reupload the corrected manuscript.




The screenshot shows the 'Reviewing in Content' interface. It includes a header with 'participant one submitted paper revision #1 - March 12, 2022' and a 'Paper.pdf' link. The main section contains six numbered questions for review, each with a star rating system. A blue arrow points from the 'Propose an action' dropdown menu to the 'To be corrected' option in the list of actions.

Questions and ratings:

- 1 Please highlight to the authors the strengths and weaknesses of their paper and justify your assessment. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if it is accepted. (Rating: 4)
- 2 Relevance and Timeliness: Please rate the relevance of the paper to the conference, and the importance of the topic addressed in the paper and its timeliness within its area of research. * (Rating: 5)
- 3 Novelty and Originality: Please rate the novelty and originality of the work presented in the paper (Rating: 5)
- 4 Technical Content and Correctness: Please rate the technical contents of the paper, its soundness and scientific rigour (Rating: 5)
- 5 Quality of Presentation: Please rate the quality of presentation including (i) paper organization, (ii) clearness of text and figures, (iii) completeness and accuracy of references, and (iv) correct usage of English (Rating: 5)
- 6 Overall Recommendation: Please indicate your overall recommendation (Rating: 5)

At the bottom, there is a 'Propose an action' dropdown menu with options: 'To be corrected', 'Accept', and 'Reject'. Below this is a text area for comments and a 'Submit review' button.



This is a close-up of the 'Propose an action' dropdown menu. It shows the following options: 'To be corrected', 'Accept', 'Reject', and 'Change review'. The 'Change review' button is highlighted in blue.

* Please note that reviewers can submit the review once all questions have been answered (the “Submit review” button will become active)

AS REVIEWERS:

Once author submitted their correction, REVIEWERS can write their review (comments & suggestions)

The correction will be shown as revision #2


If **REVIEWERS** **unsatisfied** with the correction, REVIEWERS can choose the “**To be corrected**” again for the **AUTHOR** to do the **correction**. Otherwise, reviewer can select **Accept** or **Reject**.

Paper Peer Reviewing

Test for submitting two papers together #65

To be corrected Super ADMIN submitted for the contribution Test for submitting two papers together

Paper files

 paper for testin...

Submitted on 8 September 2023 by Super ADMIN


N

Noraini Azmi submitted paper revision #1 - 21 July 2023

Show old revision

S

Super ADMIN submitted paper revision #2 - 8 September 2023

 paper for testing the system .docx

S

Super ADMIN left a review - 14 May 2024

Content

Proposed to correct - score 5.00 (show ratings)

S

Super ADMIN asked for changes. - 14 May 2024

The paper requires changes.

Comment

testts ayat

S

Leave a comment...


or

Change review

AS JUDGE/TPC (TECHNICAL PROGRAMME COMMITTEE):

Judge need to copy and paste the comments from the reviewer

For example:



Proposed to **accept** - score **3.83** (hide ratings)

Rating	Category	Score
★★★★★	1 Originality & Novelty: Please rate the originality and novelty of the work presented in the paper.	4
★★★★★	2 Significance & Impact: Please rate the likely significance and impact of the paper on the research community of the field/area.	4
★★★★★	3 Technical Quality & Correctness: Please rate the technical quality of the paper in terms of soundness, correctness and scientific rigour.	4
★★★★★	4 Clarity of Presentation: Please rate the quality of the paper presentation, including the clarity of writing, paper organisation, and other stylistic aspects of the paper.	4
5 Reviewer's Comments to Authors: Please highlight the major strengths and weaknesses of the paper, and provide detailed constructive criticism.		


1. This paper Investigates on tibia bone segmentation from the Osteogenesis Imperfecta Images using Deep Convolutional Neural Network (DCNN). 2. The paper seems did not follow IFMBE Conference Proceedings template. Please check back the template and do amendments accordingly. (I) Paper title should be centered and the font size is 14pt bold. (II) Same goes for 1st heading and 2nd heading as the font is different. (III) The paper margin also looks different from the given template. 2. Suggest to include a table to explain more clearly on data preparation. 3. Results & Discussion: Suggest to include some discussion on IoU to elaborate more on Table 4. Same for Table 5, suggest including some value of Table 5 (performance results in the discussion section- accuracy, precision, recall, and specificity) 4. The rest are good to proceed.

The judge needs to copy this

Judge need to make the final decision

ACCEPT / REJECT / TO BE CORRECTED

- **Accept** indicates no correction required
- **Reject** the paper
- To be corrected is when the paper is accepted but author needs to do correction and reupload the final corrected paper again



S

Accept

Leave a comment for the submitter.

AND paste it HERE

Judge

AS JUDGE/TPC (TECHNICAL PROGRAMME COMMITTEE):

grammar improvements).

6 Reviewer's Recommendation: Please provide your recommendation on whether to accept or reject the paper, carefully weighing its strengths and weaknesses. If you think the paper can still be accepted but requires minor or major revisions, please select "To be corrected" in the proposal below and indicate any changes or improvements that should be made to it in the "Reviewer's Comments to Authors." ★★★★★ 4

7 Recommendation for the Best Paper Award: Would you recommend this paper for the Best Paper Award? No

8 Reviewer's Confidence: Please rate your confidence in your review. ★★★★★ 3

H

Haniza Yazid accepted this paper. - 29 July 2023



Comment

Dear Authors,

Congratulations. Your submission (

However, when preparing your revised/camera-ready paper, you are asked to carefully consider the reviewer's comments. You are requested to submit the "Revised/Camera-ready paper" in the Ms-Word file before 31st July 2023.

Reviewer comments:

Reviewer 1: Authors have Implemented Unet and Resnet for Image segmentation for Osteogenesis Imperfecta (OI) that is a type of genetic bone disease. But authors did not mention how this disease prediction and actual bone fracture is differentiating. Table 2 having Images must be align they are not appearing while accessing the manuscript. Conclusion needs more explanation. dataset is very low for training and testing purpose.

Reviewer 2: 1. This paper Investigates on tibia bone segmentation from the Osteogenesis Imperfecta Images using Deep Convolutional Neural Network (DCNN). 2. The paper seems did not follow IFMBE Conference Proceedings template. Please check back the template and do amendments accordingly. (I) Paper title should be centered and the font size is 14pt bold. (II) Same goes for 1st heading and 2nd heading as the font is different. (III) The paper margin also looks different from the given template. 2. Suggest to Include a table to explain more clearly on data preparation. 3. Results & Discussion: Suggest to Include some discussion on IoU to elaborate more on Table 4. Same for Table 5, suggest including some value of Table 5 (performance results in the discussion section- accuracy, precision, recall, and specificity) 4. The rest are good to proceed.

Example of
reviewers' comments
copy/paste by JUDGE

For further inquiries and assistance, please send an email to
support@frappehub.com