Reviewer Comment	Response
Strength recognized:	Thank you, we appreciate this recognition of the value of our integrated
Proof-of-concept with	approach for rapid <i>G. boninense</i> detection.
significant agricultural	approach for rapid discommonse detection
application	
Limited novelty in chip	1. <b>Chip design</b> : While we utilize a relatively standard PDMS/SU-8
design, method, or	process, our novelty lies in the <b>single-channel</b> , <b>single-step</b>
application	on-chip lysis + extraction, bypassing bead-based purification
approximation of the state of t	or off-chip steps common in the literature (see Table 1, entry #6).
	<ol> <li>Detection: We employ a low-cost AuNP-ZnO IDE platform combined with label-free electrical I-V readout, eliminating fluorescent labels or enzyme amplification and enabling detection within an hour.</li> </ol>
	3. <b>Biological application</b> : Prior studies (#7 in Table 1) also
	target <i>G. boninense</i> , but they typically relied on magnetic
	beads, PCR validation, or longer assay times (~15 min up to
	2 h). Our system completes both extraction and detection in $\sim$ 1
	hour, using minimal reagents and no external amplification.
	We will explicitly highlight these distinctions in the revised Introduction and Discussion.
Introduction should discuss	We have added a new paragraph summarizing key entries (time-to-
Table 1	result, sample type, detection modality) and situating our platform's novelty relative to existing systems, especially our direct electrical readout and reduced assay time.
Justify choice of CTAB vs. AP1	Added rationale: CTAB is classic for fungi due to detergent and high-salt
buffers	action removing polysaccharides/phenolics; AP1 buffer (Qiagen-style)
buners	includes PVP/DTT and is known to enhance fungal DNA recovery
	through phenolic binding, supported by studies in fungal and plant
	protocols
Typo 'sunstrate' → 'substrate'	Corrected.
Clarify whether AP1 is lab-	We clarify that AP1 was used from a commercial kit.
made or Qiagen kit	January sharring a noon in our a commercial ma
Provide equipment details	We include AuNP concentration 30 nM thiolated DNA for clarity
Add biological/statistical	This study is in cohort with previous studies we have included in the
replicates	references.
Compare to entries #6 and #7	Added side-by-side comparison: entry #6 required ~2 h including PCR
(G. boninense studies)	validation, entry #7 used bead-based extraction in ~15 min; our chip
	eliminates beads/amplification and completes extraction + label-free
	detection in ~1 hour.
Explain noise in Fig. 6 red	We clarify that the red (AP1-immediate) spectrum shows elevated
trace	baseline noise due to higher total nucleic acid and possible residual
	phenolics saturating the spectrometer; not degradation, since overnight
	values remain stable.
Explain high baseline current	We clarify that immobilized probe layers enhance surface conductivity
for probe (black curve) in	via AuNP-thiolated DNA networks, causing higher baseline current,
Fig. 8	likely due to changes in resistance after immobilization (Section 3.3)